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1 – Introduction

Recent advances in social media technology have changed the way individuals and groups
fundraise. Social crowdfunding platforms have been developed to mediate online interactions between
campaigners and donors. Of the various crowdfunding platforms in existence, GoFundMe is the world’s
largest (GoFundMe, n.d.). Launched in 2010, GoFundMe has raised more than US$ 5 billion to date and
supports a community consisting of more than 50 million donors and users (GoFundMe, n.d.). According
to Sisler (2012) and Snyder, Mathers, and Crooks (2016), campaigns for medical purposes created on
GoFundMe comprise the largest proportion of campaigns on the platform. Crowdfunding platforms
provide “opportunities for anyone with Internet access to pitch an idea to their social network and beyond
and to gather funding to realize their work [or projects]” (Gerber, Hui, & Kuo, 2012, p. 1). Medical
crowdfunding campaigns utilize these digital infrastructures to leverage one’s social network and Internet
connection to raise funds for medically-related purposes (Gerber et al., 2012; Snyder et al., 2016). Several
factors preceding medical crowdfunding campaign creation include: “lack of insurance coverage for
medically essential or non-essential care, meeting needs related to actually obtaining medical care such as
travel costs and time off work, and obtaining funding for unproven interventions at home or abroad”
(Snyder et al., 2016, p. 27).

The use of crowdfunding platforms for medical purposes is expected to intensify (Snyder et al.,
2016; Young & Scheinberg, 2017). Crowdfunding provides an alternative approach to obtain funds for
medical purposes. However, this growing usage provokes various questions and incites a plethora of
ethical concerns (Snyder et al., 2016; Young & Scheinberg, 2017). Current literature on the subject matter
is scarce. Consequently, Snyder et al. (2016) made a call for further research to address: “Who benefits
the most from medical crowdfunding and how does medical crowdfunding affect access to medical care;
How does medical crowdfunding affect our understanding of the causes of inadequate access to medical
care; and How are campaigner and donor privacy affected by website design” (Snyder et al., 2016, p. 27).
Instigated by the GeNA Lab and Medical Crowdfunding Research Team at Simon Fraser University
(SFU), further research in medical crowdfunding is underway (J. Snyder, personal communication, January 18, 2018). This interdisciplinary group has developed a pilot dataset that contains information for Canadian, cancer-related crowdfunding campaigns that were created on the GoFundMe platform (J. Snyder, personal communication, January 18, 2018). The uniqueness of this dataset is that it contains geographic information alongside campaigner information provided (J. Snyder, personal communication, January 18, 2018). The medical crowdfunding campaigns possess enough postal code information to permit linkage of campaign records to forward sortation areas (J. Snyder, personal communication, January 18, 2018).

In this study, the inaugural medical crowdfunding dataset will be connected to a selection of demographic information from the 2016 Canadian Census. This enables exploration of basic geo-demographic trends using simple data analysis methods. These methods will include an exploratory spatial data analysis involving the creation of quintiles for income, education, and home ownership measures. Additionally, we will employ a frequent text mining algorithm to extract any correlations between diction and success of campaigns, subsequently identifying if this correlation is geographical in nature. The purpose of this work is to contribute a foundation to answer the first question posed by Snyder et al. (2016) and to establish a framework to encourage further research and inquiries on the subject of medical crowdfunding in Canada.

2 – Background

As mentioned previously, studies pertaining to medical crowdfunding are relatively scarce due to the prematurity of the subject (Snyder et al., 2016). Many previous studies have been conducted with a focus on the ethics of crowdfunding (Snyder, 2016; Snyder, Crooks, Mathers, & Chow-White, 2017), the geography of crowdfunding (Agrawal, Catalini, & Goldfarb, 2011, 2015), or on crowdfunding in general (Gerber et al., 2012). Gerber et al. (2012) found that donors are more inclined to contribute to crowdfunding campaigns with intentions to initiate social interactions, seeking inclusion in online communities. While this may be beneficial in a general crowdfunding context, Snyder (2016, p. 41) felt
that medical crowdfunding campaigns should be treated as “indicators of health system shortcomings that should be addressed in order to counter growing inequities.” The current unavailability of data has limited exploration of geo-demographic aspects of medical crowdfunding and the characteristics of campaigners (J. Snyder, personal communication, January 18, 2018). Lack of research in this subject area impedes understanding on how “medical crowdfunding affects access to medical care” (Snyder et al., 2016, p. 27) that could enable the identification of gaps and inequities within the Canadian healthcare system (Snyder, 2016).

The Medical Crowdfunding Research Team is working in conjunction with the GeNA Lab at SFU to address the paucity of medical crowdfunding data. A dataset containing a snapshot of Canadian cancer-related campaigns was retrieved from GoFundMe in May 2016 (J. Snyder, personal communication, January 18, 2018). Other medically-related crowdfunding campaign datasets captured across other time intervals and platforms are being created and processed at this time (J. Hu, personal communication, February 23, 2018).

3 – Literature Review

3.1 – Crowdfunding for Cancer

Crowdfunding platforms are theorized to have begun in response to the global financial crisis in 2008 (Aitamurto, 2011). The GoFundMe platform was launched to support fundraising for the “ceremonies in everyday life” such as funerals and weddings (Harvey, 2013). The platform has become increasingly used for medical-related causes, which now constitute one of GoFundMe's largest categories (Sisler, 2012). Medical crowdfunding helps individuals seek support outside the domain of the Canadian healthcare system (Snyder, 2016). The increase in life expectancies and in the number of people afflicted with cancer has overburdened healthcare systems globally (Snyder, Chow-White, Crooks, & Mathers, 2017; The Lancet Oncology, 2017). This onus on the Canadian healthcare system has been so drastic that Canadians have been resorting to crowdfunding to obtain funding for “cancer-related care,” making it the
prevailing motive of those seeking aid on these platforms across the country (Snyder, Chow-White, et al., 2017, p. e240). However, this reliance on medical crowdfunding for cancer-related determinants only serves as a temporary remedy for gaps in the healthcare system mentioned by Snyder (2016). There exist ethical concerns pertaining to which groups will benefit most from crowdfunding. Groups incapable of accessing or using crowdfunding platforms may be left at a disadvantage within the overburdened healthcare system. As Snyder (2016, p. 39) explains, it “perpetuates systemic injustices.” While this inequality should impel further research, Robinson et al. (2015) infer that the 'digital divide' is a preventative barrier that restrains individuals from using crowdfunding platforms. Lack of social networks, technological capabilities, or accessibility to certain technologies will impact an individual's ability to conduct or succeed in medical crowdfunding initiatives (Robinson et al., 2015). Furthermore, Romm (2015) claims that the distribution of care in medical crowdfunding campaigns are changing from ‘distribution based on medical necessity’ to ‘distribution based on deservedness’. Although medical crowdfunding campaigns can greatly benefit some users, the proliferating use of these platforms has the potential to exacerbate existing gaps in healthcare systems. By portraying patients as ‘victims of misfortune’, the descriptions and persuasive narratives employed in self-authored crowdfunding campaigns may draw attention away from the inadequacies of healthcare systems.

3.2 – Previous Methods Used in Crowdfunding Studies

According to Snyder and Crooks (personal communication, February 22, 2018), many large-scale crowdfunding platforms release minimal geographic data for campaigners due to privacy concerns and to keep data for their own benefit. As a result, many past studies conducted using crowdfunding datasets have been inherently non-spatial. Lukkarinen, Teich, Wallenius, and Wallenius (2016) developed regression models to better understand factors contributing to campaign success. Paulus and Roberts (2017) conducted a narrative analysis to examine the wording campaigners employed to appeal to potential donors. Finally, Aitamurto (2011) conducted a series of interview analyses to examine how crowdfunding has impacted journalism as a professional field. The common theme between these and
various other studies is that they are not geographic in nature and they are not focused on medical crowdfunding. While Agrawal et al. (2015) and Agrawal et al. (2011) were able to incorporate geographic components into their studies, their results were primarily quantitative rather than spatial. The methods consisted mainly of empirical models and were limited to a smaller and categorically-limited crowdfunding platform known as Sellaband (Agrawal et al., 2011, 2015).

3.3 – The Importance of Campaign Narratives on Crowdfunding Platforms

The GoFundMe platform sustains a crowdfunding model in which there is “no financial return to the funders or backers” (Murphy, 2017, p. 11). Crowdfunding success for “patronage-based” platforms relying on unreciprocated donations effectuates campaigner-sponsor interactions (Murphy, 2017). Campaigners are equipped with a template enabling careful crafting of narratives to persuade sponsor action. Narratives permit campaigners to relate to sponsors and instill “emotional resonance” by “tapping into individuals most basic values, beliefs, and experiences” (Murphy, 2017, p. 16). Compelling text “can change beliefs, attitudes, and behaviours” of sponsors, motivating them to financially contribute to a cause (Murphy, 2017, p. 16). “Social media literacies are also critical to ensuring campaign success and establishing deservingness” (Berliner & Kenworthy, 2017, p. 254).

The majority of successful crowdfunding campaigns use descriptions to persuade potential donors that they are worthy of their donations (Majumdar & Bose, 2018). These descriptions, in the case of medical crowdfunding, often include a back-story about the person in need accompanied by an explanation of how the money will be spent. The narratives used within these descriptions are deeply connected to the success of the campaign. Berliner and Kenworthy (2017) found that campaigns that included enough contextual information without being overwhelmingly emotional were among the most successful. These successful narratives also tended to project a sense of urgency whilst remaining optimistic. Out of the one hundred and five cases in the Berliner study, zero portrayed the person in need as weak or helpless. It was also found that the more successful campaigns stated their request for money at the end of campaign descriptions. The ability to convey oneself as more deserving than other
campaigners implies medical crowdfunding campaign text becomes an important tool for marketing one’s cause (Berliner & Kenworthy, 2017). However, previous studies have not explored geographic patterns emerging from successful campaign narratives that have motivated sponsor action.

3.4 – Geovisualization to Support Decision-Making and Hypothesis Generation

Geovisualization encompasses “visual exploration, analysis, synthesis, and presentation of geospatial data” (Kraak, 2009, p. 468). Geovisualization techniques provide “a visual approach to problem-solving” with the support of online and offline cartographic products (Kraak, 2009, p. 469). Cartographic products such as Google Maps and Mapbox support expert and non-expert users in displaying their spatially-referenced datasets and sharing this information with other users to interpret. Combined with intuitive interfaces, geovisualizations incorporate interactivity to support “decision-making and hypotheses generation” (Çöltekin, Bleisch, Andrienko, & Dykes, 2017, p. 117). Users from varying backgrounds perceive visualizations in different ways, necessitating descriptive and informative user interfaces and cartographic design (Çöltekin et al., 2017). Dimensionalities of datasets pose a problem in geovisualization design, as information needs to be clearly conveyed to promote understanding and to aid decision-making processes (Çöltekin et al., 2017).

3.5 – Methods Chosen for this Study

3.5.1 – Weighted Socioeconomic Data Conversion and Creation of Socioeconomic Quintiles

This study commences discussion pertaining to spatially-referenced medical crowdfunding campaign data. Methods employed in this work will benefit from those contrived in other fields and were selected based on the schema of available data. At the recommendation of Schuurman & Swanlund (personal communication, February 19, 2018), our workflow establishes a linkage of the 2016 medical crowdfunding dataset with forward sortation areas (FSAs, being areas with the same first three postal codes values). Campaigns for each FSA are counted, and these frequencies are assigned to their respective boundaries (see Figure 8). These FSAs are subsequently linked with aggregate dissemination areas (ADAs) that feature census variables from the 2016 Canadian Census. These variables will consist
of income, number of persons who have completed post-secondary education, and home ownership. These were chosen based on personal communications with Schuurman, Crooks, & Snyder (personal communication, February 22, 2018). Our selection was also informed by research conducted by Rogers (1997) in which these variables were shown to be commonly associated with vulnerability with regards to health and healthcare. To link these datasets, the variables will be weighted based on the percent of areal overlap each ADA shares with each FSA. The resulting weighted attributes will then be divided into quintiles using a method similar to the one conducted by Southern et al. (2002). Resulting quintiles will then be visualized in an interactive cartographic display, enabling geographic comparisons to be made.

3.5.2 – Exploratory Spatial Data Analysis

The exploratory nature of our work permits the utilization of exploratory spatial data analysis (ESDA). The genesis of the medical crowdfunding dataset provides an avenue to formulate questions and to propose future research trajectories. ESDA facilitates the investigation of prior assumptions and guides the identification of spatial patterns (Bailey & Gatrell, 1995; Haining, Wise, & Ma, 1998). Utilizing graphic displays of spatially-referenced data enables generation of informed hypotheses and assists selection of relevant statistical methods (Bailey & Gatrell, 1995). Through cartographic and tabular representations, discovery of potential attribute correlations existing within the available dataset enables more meaningful future analyses (Haining et al., 1998).

3.5.3 – Frequent Text Mining

Another method chosen was inspired by Cohen and Hersh (2005) mentioning that text mining techniques have been increasingly used in health research to address the issue of information overload. The online interpersonal dynamics supported by crowdfunding platforms amplify the importance of fastidious discourse. The standardized interface provided by crowdfunding platforms bridge campaigner and sponsor interactions generates a necessity to assess the discourse employed by successful campaigners. The objective of frequent text mining is to detect interesting patterns arising in unstructured corpora (Aggarwal, 2015). A multitude of frequent text mining algorithms have been developed to detect textual patterns of statistical significance. The programming language ‘R’ will be used to perform
frequent text extraction from on the medical crowdfunding dataset as there are many popular libraries currently available for text mining. Using an existing text mining framework, an assessment of statistically significant discourse will be performed to explore campaign narratives. The proposition for extending this method includes a cartographic display of results to support exploration of spatial distributions of frequent text patterns.

3.5.4 – Geovisualization to Support ESDA and Frequent Text Visualization

Geovisualization tools that support ESDA include interactive web mapping applications. These tools allow users from all fields of expertise to explore both raw and aggregated datasets through using interactive cartographic products. Mapbox is an open source "location data platform for mobile and web applications" (https://www.mapbox.com/about/) that supports the creation of interactive web mapping applications. The tool created for this work employs the Mapbox GL Javascript library "that uses WebGL to render interactive maps" from a variety of data source types, including GeoJSON (https://www.mapbox.com/mapbox-gl-js/api).

Previous work utilized Google Maps and open-source interface components to develop an interactive tool for users to explore historic collections of newspapers in Texas, (Torget, Mihalcea, Christensen, & McGhee, 2011). Using text search fields and sliders to support exploratory spatial data analysis of the newspaper collection data, the developers also supported the assessment of language patterns (Torget et al., 2011). Word counts were utilized in hopes “to expose revealing patterns by discovering which words and phrases appear most frequently” (Torget et al., 2011, p. 25). As frequent text mining has been selected as a conducive method to this application, the “Mapping Texts” word count visualization lends well to the visualization of frequent terms emerging from the medical crowdfunding dataset. However, this work will extend the “Mapping Texts” visualization construction by not only displaying bar graph outputs, but also displaying the most frequent terms per FSA in a cartographic output. Similarly, this work aims to not only create ‘word clouds’, but to develop an innovative, interactive text visualization we have named with our liaisons a ‘geographic wordle.’
3.5.5 – Objectives with Methods Selected

The nature of this research necessitates the application of exploratory methods. Applying and extending ESDA and frequent text mining methodology with the support of geovisualization techniques, the aim of this contribution is to develop informed hypotheses, devise future research trajectories, and link analysis methods to geographic data (see Figure 5 for the complete project workflow).

4 – Data

This study was enabled by automated data crawling agents and machine-learning techniques utilized to construct a detailed medical crowdfunding dataset. A Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) process is applied to support exploration and analysis of variable correlations and spatial patterns existing in this novel medical crowdfunding dataset. The KDD process model is interactive and iterative, resulting in new knowledge obtained through the evaluation of emerging patterns (Bagga & Singh, 2011). The goal of 'knowledge discovery' is met by selecting, pre-processing, and transforming data for the core process of data mining (Bagga & Singh, 2011). The output of data mining algorithms are patterns, which must be interpreted and evaluated for significance in the domain (Bagga & Singh, 2011).

4.1 – Data Selection and Creation

The datasets selected for this project include the following:

- Crowdfunding datasets including cancer-related campaigns from GoFundMe (2016)
- 2016 Census Profile for aggregate dissemination areas
- Aggregate dissemination area boundaries
- Forward sortation area boundaries

4.1.1 – Cancer-Related Crowdfunding Dataset Creation

The crowdfunding dataset containing cancer-related campaigns from May 2016 was created by an automated web crawling algorithm developed by Dr. Juhua Hu from the GeNA Lab at SFU. The GoFundMe platform is accessed via web browser, and the information is displayed using a graphical user
interface (GUI) to constrain and guide user interactions (Preece, Sharp, & Rogers, 2015). While campaign data is typically retrieved through user interaction, web crawling permits more efficient retrieval of resources by downloading these web resources for knowledge discovery tasks (Aggarwal, 2015). Basic web crawling algorithms are automated to fetch web page data “using the HTTP protocol” given a “seed set of URLs” (Aggarwal, 2015, pp. 591-592). Unvisited URLs are iteratively added and subtracted from a frontier list until a termination criterion has been met (Aggarwal, 2015). This particular algorithm was devised to repeatedly query GoFundMe for each FSA until sufficient results were returned. The HTML of resulting campaign pages were then downloaded in succession by the web crawling agent. Once the full HTML files from each campaign were retrieved, the pages were then parsed to remove HTML tags. Further cleaning and labeling using a machine learning algorithm aimed to learn missing information and attributes of campaigns related to cancer. The final records were stored in a Redis database for access by simple query for future analysis. The dataset used in this study contains 1788 records pertaining to cancer-related campaigns created by Canadians.

4.1.2 – Administrative Boundaries

Aggregate dissemination areas (ADAs) are a new census product released by Statistics Canada as part of the 2016 Canadian Census. Delineation of ADAs considers boundaries of previous census products, including existing census divisions, census metropolitan areas, and census tracts (Statistics Canada, 2016). Forward sortation areas (FSAs) are administrative boundaries determined by Canada Post ("Forward Sortation Area - Definition," 2015). They are alphanumerically represented by “the first three characters in a Canadian postal code” ("Forward Sortation Area - Definition," 2015). The records contained in the crowdfunding dataset described in section 4.1.1 have an FSA attribute. This geographic data unit enables spatial questions to be explored that were not previously possible. Boundaries of ADAs and FSAs were obtained from Statistics Canada (http://www12.statcan.gc.ca/cens-recensement/2011/geo/bound-limit/bound-limit-eng.cfm).
4.1.3 – Socioeconomic Data

Socioeconomic status and its correlation with an individual’s health or ability to obtain treatments have been previously assessed at a FSA level (Alter, Naylor, Austin, & Tu, 1999; Goovaerts, 2012; Kapral, Wang, Mamdani, & Tu, 2002). As mentioned in section 3.5, variables related to income, education, and housing were chosen and obtained using the University of Toronto’s Canadian Census Analyser at the ADA level (http://dc1.chass.utoronto.ca/census/index.html; see Table 1 for exact variables obtained). Further data pre-processing and transformations were required in order to link socioeconomic data to FSAs due to current data limitations.

4.2 – Data Pre-Processing and Transformation

4.2.1 – Processing Crowdfunding Data

The medical crowdfunding dataset had undergone significant cleaning prior to the start of this project. Further processing was initiated to replace null values with synonymous integer values. Quotations were also removed from campaign descriptions prior to exporting the records in a GeoJSON format for use with Mapbox. Campaign records were geocoded based on city names provided by campaigners. Further data preparation is needed to use this data with the frequent text mining method, illustrated in section 5.2.

4.2.2 – Adding Socioeconomic Data to FSA

Currently, profile and boundary datasets for FSAs are unavailable for the Statistics Canada 2016 Census data (as of March 26, 2018). It was determined to compute the weighted average of socioeconomic variables based on the percent of spatial overlap between ADAs and FSAs. To prepare for this conversion, ADAs were spatially joined with data retrieved from the 2016 Census Profile. Each FSA is also joined with respective campaign frequencies (see Figure 1 for the high-level description of conversion procedure utilized to add socioeconomic data at ADA-level to FSA-level). Once the relationship matrix has been generated from the function GenerateWeights, it can be retrieved and
used to calculate weighted averages of socioeconomic variables contained in each intersecting ADA. These weighted socioeconomic variables are appended to each respective FSA.

5 – Methods

5.1 – Creation of Socioeconomic Quintiles

Using ArcMap from the ArcGIS suite (version 10.5), quintiles were created using natural breaks. Natural breaks were used after concluding with project liaisons that this method would be ideal in highlighting distinct or statistically significant differences in the data (V. Crooks & N. Schuurman, personal communication, March 15, 2018). The data was categorized as quintiles as we trusted that 5 classes would be sufficient to showcase differences while minimizing complexity of visual results. These quintiles were used in cartographic representations to support ESDA tasks. Visual comparisons at regional and provincial levels were then conducted with regards to income, education, and housing ownership. The creation of these quintiles allowed project correspondents to examine the data for geographic trends. These cartographic products enabled the significance of these socioeconomic variables to be gauged for medical crowdfunding.

5.2 – Frequent Text Mining

To utilize the frequent text mining method, campaign titles and descriptions are used separately from the other attributes contained in the cancer-related campaign dataset. Campaign titles and descriptions are unstructured text fields contained in each crowdfunding record. These irregular fields must be prepared for frequent text mining methods to yield meaningful results. Steps to prepare text include: stop word removal, stemming, and punctuation mark removal (Aggarwal, 2015). ‘Stop words’ refer to “commonly occurring words that provide very little information about the actual content of the document” (Aggarwal, 2015, p. 431). Omitting meaningless words is imperative to expose significant words employed to encourage sponsor engagement. Stemming refers to the consolidation of word variations (Aggarwal, 2015, p. 431). For instance, if a campaigner devises a title including the word ‘helping’, and another with the title including ‘help’, stemming enables the identification of these words.
based on their shared root (Aggarwal, 2015). Finally, punctuation marks and non-alphabetic characters are removed, leaving an unordered ‘bag-of-words’ (Aggarwal, 2015).

'R' is an interpreted programming language developed for "statistical computing and graphics" ("What is R?," n.d.). The ‘tm’ package was selected to provide a "text mining framework" (Feinerer, 2013). To generate the frequency of terms, term-document matrices are used to capture relationships between the frequency of terms and documents in which they occur. In the context of this application, document objects refer to campaign records. For each campaign, term frequency will be computed and stored in this relationship matrix. After the term-document matrix is generated, further operations can be performed to detect patterns. The matrix structure enables the extraction of the most frequent terms, cluster analysis, derivation of association rules, and other statistical operations.

To conduct the frequent text mining analyses, it was determined to extract frequent terms from the campaign titles and descriptions separately. This is due to antecedent expectations of varying language used in text fields provided for different purposes within the crowdfunding campaign templates. Preliminary observations of campaign titles shows language to be largely irrelevant to explaining the actual reason for requesting funds. Campaign descriptions include more detailed narratives demonstrating the need of the campaigner and/or recipient of the funds. The following steps were conducted on the campaign titles and descriptions separately: 1) create corpora of terms included in each entry of the dataset, 2) remove stop-words, punctuation, whitespace, numbers, and common names from the text, 3) create term-document matrix with the cleaned results, 4) remove sparse terms, and 5) extract the frequently occurring words (see Figure 6). Results of running the frequent text mining procedure on the campaign titles and descriptions were then combined with the FSAs. For each row in the resulting matrix, we can extract which frequent terms appeared per campaign and how many times each of these terms appeared. Since multiple campaigns can exist for each FSA, results were aggregated to generate a dataset of frequent terms per FSA. The top 3 terms per FSA were extracted and appended to each FSA entry. These results were geocoded using the Google Maps API and then stored in a GeoJSON format for
display in the interactive web mapping tool. Users are able to view the most frequent term per FSA, and upon clicking the text, they are able to view the top 3 terms for each FSA.

5.3 – Building a Geovisualization to Support ESDA and Frequent Text Visualization

An interactive 2D web mapping application was developed using the Mapbox GL Javascript API to support ESDA tasks and frequent text visualization per FSA. Crowdfunding campaign markers were depicted and afford interaction by clicking and through a searchable list accompanying the cartographic representation (see Figure 7). Clicking and adjusting user interface components such as buttons, toggles, and sliders propagate filtering of campaign location markers. Providing real-time feedback for user inputs provides a workflow to interpret data quickly and reversibly as various exploration activities are performed (Preece et al., 2015). Utilizing data layers generated from weighted socioeconomic data and the frequent text mining method, users are supported in their “decision-making and hypothesis generation” workflows (Çöltekin et al., 2017, p. 117). Examples of user sequences supported by the tool developed are elaborated in Figures 2, 3, and 4.

6 – Results

The results of our project can be thoroughly examined through our web mapping tool (https://alyshav.com/chrp/explore/; see Figure 7). Our results can be categorized as either exploratory or analysis layers. The exploratory layers consist of the socioeconomic variables that have been linked with FSAs. These include a layer of campaign counts per FSA, income, education, and housing (see Figures 8, 9, 10, & 11 for screen captures). The analysis layers consist of the text mining results. These layers consist of ‘geographic wordles’ for the top three terms used in campaign titles and campaign descriptions (see Figures 12, 13, & 14 for screen captures).
6.1 – Socioeconomic Variables

In addition to the web map, a table was generated that showed the proportion of crowdfunding campaigns that belonged in each quintile for each socioeconomic variable (see Tables 2, 3, 4, & 5). It is important to note that only 176 FSAs out of the 1620 FSAs in Canada contained at least one crowdfunding campaign, accounting for about 1.5 million people in terms of population or about 5% of the national total (see Table 6). A separate table was also generated that shows the distribution of the crowdfunding campaigns between each province and territory of Canada and the average value of each socioeconomic variable within each of the administrative divisions (see Table 6). These tables resulted from a manual process that involved examining the data using ArcGIS 10.5 and placing the information into Microsoft Excel. These tables will assist in discovering the previously mentioned geographic trends or help expose gaps within the Canadian healthcare system.

6.2 – Text Mining

Word clouds were created that denote the most frequent terms that can be observed within the titles of each respective crowdfunding campaigns and for the description of the campaigns (see Figures 17 & 18). ‘Geographic wordles’ were also created in an attempt to discover geographic trends pertaining to frequently used terms in campaign titles and descriptions (see Figures 12, 13, & 14). Lastly, bar graphs were created to showcase the most frequent terms used in the campaign titles and campaign descriptions (see Figures 15 & 16).

7 – Discussion

7.1 – Interpretation of Obtained Results

7.1.1 – SES Quintiles and Campaign Instigators

It was expected that the average income of crowdfunding campaigners that use GoFundMe for cancer-related purposes would lie within middle to high levels. This expectation aligned with our results, as approximately 65.49% of campaigns are located in FSAs within the 3 highest quintiles within the
income category (see Table 3). We also expected that those who resorted to crowdfunding for cancer-related purposes would consist of groups who have obtained post-secondary education and those who rent housing rather than own. These expectations were made based on assumptions and previous work assessing types of individuals that have greater numbers of social networks, higher technological abilities, and greater accessibility to crowdfunding platforms (J. Snyder & V. Crooks, personal communication, January 25, 2018). Our results corresponded with these predictions, with education values tending toward the larger quintiles and housing ownership values being larger in the middle quintile (see Tables 4 & 5).

However, we cannot assume whether these variables directly influence one’s choice to crowdfund for medical purposes as they could simply be a function of population.

7.1.2 – Urban and Rural Divide

We also forecasted distinct differences in results between urban and rural areas (J. Snyder & V. Crooks, personal communication, January 25, 2018). It was speculated that rural areas would have less crowdfunding campaigns for a variety of reasons. Reasons included assumptions of smaller social support networks, lower education levels, and lesser access to technology (J. Snyder & V. Crooks, personal communication, January 25, 2018). Due to the large aggregations of FSAs, it was difficult to determine whether there was a clear urban and rural divide. Upon examination of our visual results (see Figures 7 & 8), it is evident that the frequency of campaigns was typically greater closer to city centres. It can be said that our results are inconclusive as to whether or not it demonstrates a clear urban or rural divide. While the results show that campaigns are more prevalent in Ontario and British Columbia and less so in the maritime and territories, we cannot confirm if this is due to respective variations in the population. However, it is worth noting that there is a distinctive increase in crowdfunding campaign density in the prairies, particularly in Alberta and Saskatchewan. Results for Nunavut show that it has virtually no population which causes it to have skewed SES variables (see Table 6). This is due to our chosen methodology which can be considered as a systematic limitation exaggerated by the sparse population of Nunavut.
7.1.3 – Terms Obtained from Frequent Text Mining

Our results from the text mining aspect of our project can be considered as inconclusive or producing insignificant results. We found that frequent terms in campaign titles and campaign descriptions differed (see Figures 15 & 16). The term ‘cancer’ was the most frequently used term at a national scale, which was to be expected as the dataset used was centered on cancer. Verbs such as ‘battle’, ‘fight’, ‘help’, ‘fund’, and ‘beat’ were frequently seen in campaign titles with ‘battle’ and ‘fight’ being more common in eastern provinces than their western counterparts. Terms including ‘help’, ‘treatment’, ‘family’, and time-related words (i.e. ‘weeks’, ‘months’) are more frequent in crowdfunding campaign descriptions. We did not find any distinct geographic patterns in specific frequency of words used in either campaign titles or descriptions and the terms used were typically similar at both regional and national scales.

7.2 – Limitations of the Data

7.2.1 – ADA to FSA Conversion Process

Our research is limited by the data collected from GoFundMe. The primary spatial information was the first three digits of the postal codes which were then linked to FSAs. FSAs are smaller in urban areas and may be geographically vast in rural areas. Linking ADAs to FSAs can result in broad generalizations and aggregation errors (N. Schuurman, personal communication, February 19, 2018). This potentially introduced ecological fallacies into our results. This implies that findings from our study are limited, as only broad claims can be stated. The aforementioned generalizations could be less impacted in urban areas with smaller FSAs comparatively to rural areas. While this has implications on our results, the impact may be reduced considering that as of 2011, over 80% of Canada’s population lives in urban environments (Statistics Canada, 2011).

7.2.2 – Temporal Attributes of Campaign Data

The data is also only a snapshot that does not capture the dynamic nature of campaigns. It was
collected over a period of a few days and only captured the campaigns that existed during that time interval. It also only depicts the campaign state during that specific range, which means that the variables, such as the amount of money collected, are not likely to be accurate representations of the actual value raised. For example, a campaign that was created on the last day of the web crawling duration may not have raised enough money to meet its goal. In the current dataset, this would be shown as an unsuccessful campaign. This is problematic because it does not capture the campaign dynamics over time. It would be advantageous to collect the campaign data over a longer duration to capture varying states of each campaign as attributes such as the amount raised are not static features. This would reduce some of the major limitations of the data and increase its usefulness to show inequalities and variables of success. These limitations are unavoidable with the data provided, impacting the selection of methods for this work. The methods were picked to minimize fallacies introduced to the research. Problems arising from the current dataset will help provide direction for future data collection and research.

7.2.3 – Quality of Self-Reported Campaigner Information

Another data limitation is that campaigner information is self-authored by persons seeking financial support. Campaigners may craft campaigns with falsified information to motivate donors. Campaigner information is also limited as it contains minimal geographic information besides the city and FSA. Within a campaign description, other details might exist inconsistently throughout the site, such as gender, marital status, and parental status. The success of the campaign is dependent on one’s ability to market themselves and increase the likeliness of donors to take action. Whether campaigner’s truths or embellishments motivate these donors should be assessed in future medical crowdfunding research.

Additionally, instances exist in the current dataset where the recipient may be different from campaign’s creator. This poses an issue and necessitates further research because campaigners may reside in or report different FSAs than the individual or family in need of financial assistance. Our analysis was conducted under the assumption that the recipient and campaign creator are the same person or that they live in close proximity to each other (i.e. not in different provinces or FSAs). The postal codes are also
self-reported which allows campaign instigators to make errors or misstate their geographic location. An assumption upheld prior to implementing methods and conducting analyses was that differences would exist between the geographic location of campaigners and recipients especially in the maritime provinces. Assessment of self-reported of campaigns requires more research, as more concrete conclusions cannot be ascertained without introducing bias. Consequently, we aim to minimize dependence on assumptions for drawing conclusions about socioeconomic status and the potential gaps in the Canadian healthcare system.

7.2.4 – Other Limitations

Several additional limitations were encountered throughout this project. The most prominent is associated with the accuracy of the medical crowdfunding dataset provided at the outset of the project. It was observed that an FSA encapsulating a relatively rural region in southwest of British Columbia (The postal code beginning with V0S) contained an abnormally high number of campaigns (102). Upon closer inspection, we found that this was an error that was likely introduced either when the medical crowdfunding dataset was initially created or by user error. Cities attributed to these campaign entries tended to lie outside the FSA they were linked to. For example, campaigner's self-reported cities could include Vancouver or Burnaby, BC, but the record was linked to this incorrect FSA. Due to the city-level geocoding conducted in the data pre-processing stage, these discrepancies are exacerbated as campaign markers do not always align with the associated FSA in the web mapping application view.

FSAs encoded in the campaign entries dictated the primary level of aggregation for our study, thus necessitating us to limit selection of socioeconomic variables. It was recommended that few common or easily understood variables be selected to make to make regional comparisons as we would be unable to make specific claims due to risks of potential generalization or aggregation fallacies (N. Schuurman, personal communication, February 19, 2018). If spatial and non-spatial attributes were improved, more socioeconomic status variables could be involved, such as gender or immigration status. This would aid in obtaining more robust results. Another limitation encountered was the fact that the census profiles at the
FSA-level were unavailable during the course of our project due to accuracy issues (Statistics Canada, 2018). To substitute, a methodology to link and weight the chosen socioeconomic variables from the ADA-level to FSA-level had to be developed (see Methods section). Lastly, due to technological constraints, the geographic visual representation of the FSA dataset was simplified for viewing on our web map as its initial file size was too large for the existing configuration. This simplification introduced visual generalizations on our web map but did not impede previously computed numerical results.

8 – Conclusion

There is an obvious lack of academic literature on medical crowdfunding. This inspired Snyder et al. (2016) to make a call for further research in the subject matter. Using the given 2016 medical crowdfunding dataset, we developed an initial framework that can handle datasets of this nature and can be used to further geographic research on medical crowdfunding. Exploratory work performed enabled regional comparisons using socioeconomic variables related to income, education and housing. We found that the prairie provinces, particularly Alberta and potentially Saskatchewan, could be areas of interest for future studies exploring medical crowdfunding in Canada. These provinces possess unusually high amounts of campaigns relative to their respective populations and to the rest of the nation. Results from the text mining procedure were insignificant and did not expose major geographic trends of discourse utilized across the nation.

Due to the limitations associated with our data, the robustness of our study was restricted. The idyllic medical crowdfunding dataset would contain up-to-date entries obtained at the 6-digit postal code level rather than the 3-digit FSA level. Additionally, having FSA-level census profiles instead of using weighted socioeconomic variable data from an ADA-level would reduce the amount of fallacy introduced. In several cases, definitive claims from results obtained could not be made as it was uncertain whether particular variables were behaving as a function of population. It would be beneficial if future studies involved exploratory regression work.
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10 – Appendix

10.1 – Related Links

- Code References for Project:
  - https://github.com/alyshav/CrowdfundingForHealthResearchProject
  - https://github.com/alyshav/ArcPy-Calculate-Percent-Area-Shared-and-Compute-Weighted-Values
  - https://github.com/alyshav/CHRP-DataMining

- Interactive Exploratory Spatial Data Analysis tool: https://alyshav.com/chrp/explore

10.2 – List of Figures

**Algorithm** GenerateWeights(ADA dataset, FSA dataset)

```
begin
    a = Number of FSA, b = Number of ADA
    Initialize relationship matrix of size a rows by b columns
    For each i ∈ {FSA₁,...,FSAₐ}:
        Select all from ADA that intersect with FSAᵢ
        For each j ∈ {intersecting ADA retrieved in selection}:
            Calculate percent area that ADAᵢ occupies in FSAᵢ
            Add weight to matrix at the position corresponding with the respective FSA and ADA IDs.
    Store relationship matrix as CSV for reuse
end
```

*Figure 1. High-Level Description of Conversion Procedure Utilized to add Socioeconomic Data at ADA-Level to FSA-Level.*
The User:

1. Views the "CHRP Explorer" web mapping application
2. Clicks and drags the map to populate the campaign list sidebar
3. Clicks on campaign markers or hovers over sidebar listings to learn more about individual Canadian medical crowdfunding campaigns
4. Uses the basic filters slider to filter campaigns to have at least $10,000 raised, more than 300 friends, and at least 100 donations
5. Clicks the "Exploratory" tab to change the panel contents
6. Selects "Income" under the "Campaign - Socioeconomic Variable Comparison Layers by Forward sortation area" section
7. Zooms into a geographic area of interest and clicks on a coloured area to view the median income average for that FSA the campaign is associated with

Figure 2. Sample interaction sequence to support adding context to campaign markers in the interactive web mapping tool

The User:

1. Views the "CHRP Explorer" web mapping application
2. Deselects the "Campaign Markers" checkbox from "Feature Layers" in "Features & Filters"
3. Clicks the "Analysis" tab to change the panel contents
4. Clicks the 'Frequent Terms from Campaign Titles" radio button to enable geographic wordle
5. Zooms in the various geographic regions to view terms and term distribution
6. Clicks a term to view the associate FSA, along with the top 3 terms for that particular FSA

Figure 3. Sample interaction sequence to support geographic wordle viewing

The User:

1. Views the "CHRP Explorer" web mapping application
2. Deselects the "Campaign Markers" checkbox from "Feature Layers" in "Features & Filters"
3. Clicks the "Exploratory" tab to change the panel contents
4. Selects the Heatmap checkbox to enable the heatmap layer
5. Selects the "Housing (Ownership)" radio button to enable the housing data layer
6. Zooms in to view the properties associated with higher densities of campaigns
7. Clicks on a coloured area to view the approximate number of people in that FSA that own their own house

Figure 4. Sample interaction sequence to support adding context to high densities of Canadian medical crowdfunding campaigns instigated
Figure 5. Flow Chart of Overall Project Workflow.
Figure 6. Flow Chart of Text Mining Methodology.
Figure 7. Screen Capture of Web Map Application (Main Page).
Figure 8. Screen Capture of Web Map Application (with the Exploratory layer of Frequency Counts of Campaigns per FSA Displayed).
Figure 9. Screen Capture of Web Map Application (with the Exploratory layer of Income (Median, After-Tax, 2015) Displayed).
Figure 10. Screen Capture of Web Map Application (with Exploratory layer of Education (Number of People with a Post-Secondary Education) Displayed).
Figure 11. Screen Capture of Web Map Application (with the Exploratory layer of Housing (Number of People that own homes) Displayed).
Figure 12. Screen Capture of Web Map Application (with the Analysis layer of Frequent Terms used in Campaign Titles Displayed).
Figure 13. Screen Capture of Web Map Application (with the Analysis layer of Frequent Terms used in Campaign Descriptions Displayed).
Figure 14. Screen Capture of Web Map Application (with the Analysis layer of Frequent Terms used in Campaign Descriptions Displayed). This Figure shows an Example of a Pop-Up Box (which describes Other Frequently Used Terms).
Figure 15. Bar Graph of Frequently Used Terms in 2016 Cancer-Related Medical Crowdfunding Campaign Titles (from GoFundMe).

Figure 16. Bar Graph of Frequently Used Terms in 2016 Cancer-Related Medical Crowdfunding Campaign Descriptions (from GoFundMe).
Figure 17. Word Cloud of Frequently Used Terms in 2016 Cancer-Related Medical Crowdfunding Campaign Titles (from GoFundMe).

Figure 18. Word Cloud of Frequently Used Terms in 2016 Cancer-Related Medical Crowdfunding Campaign Descriptions (from GoFundMe).
### 10.3 – List of Tables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Data Obtained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population and dwelling counts</strong></td>
<td>Income statistics in 2015 for the population aged 15 years and over in private households - 100% data / Number of after-tax income recipients aged 15 years and over in private households - 100% data / Median after-tax income in 2015 among recipients ($)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income</strong></td>
<td>Highest certificate, diploma or degree for the population aged 15 years and over in private households - 25% sample data / Postsecondary certificate, diploma or degree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td>Private households by tenure - 25% sample data / Owner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Variables Obtained from 2016 Census Profiles for Aggregate Dissemination Areas.**

Retrieved from the Canadian Census Analyser, University of Toronto

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Number of FSA</th>
<th>Percentage (FSA)</th>
<th>Number of Campaigns</th>
<th>Percentage (Campaigns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>64.77%</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>13.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 17</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20.45%</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>18.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 - 41</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9.09%</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>22.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 - 76</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.41%</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>20.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77 - 138</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.27%</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>24.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>176</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1788</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Frequency of Campaigns per FSA. Proportion of Campaigns belonging to each Quintile.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Number of FSA</th>
<th>Percentage (FSA)</th>
<th>Number of Campaigns</th>
<th>Percentage (Campaigns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0.00 - $12699.19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.70%</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$12699.19 - $28556.91</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>30.68%</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>28.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$28556.91 - $33333.84</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>35.80%</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>25.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$33333.84 - $38980.26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>25.57%</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>33.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$38980.26 - $51498.11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>7.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>176</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1788</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Income, Median After-Tax (2015). Proportion of Campaigns belonging to each Quintile.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Number of FSA</th>
<th>Percentage (FSA)</th>
<th>Number of Campaigns</th>
<th>Percentage (Campaigns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 1397</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>8.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13979 - 2980</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>23.30%</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>17.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2980 - 4156</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>40.91%</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>42.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4156 - 6087</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>26.14%</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>29.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6087 - 10046</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>176</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1788</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Education, Post-Secondary. Proportion of Campaigns belonging to each Quintile.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quintile</th>
<th>Number of FSA</th>
<th>Percentage (FSA)</th>
<th>Number of Campaigns</th>
<th>Percentage (Campaigns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - 1393</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.66%</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>10.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1393 - 2429</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>21.59%</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>15.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2429 - 3218</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>38.64%</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>39.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3218 - 4579</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>27.27%</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>31.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4579 - 7121</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.84%</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>176</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
<td><strong>1788</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Housing, Owner. Proportion of Campaigns belonging to each Quintile.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province/Territory</th>
<th>Number of FSAs</th>
<th>Number of Campaigns</th>
<th>Percentage (FSA)</th>
<th>Percentage (Campaigns)</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Income, Median After-Tax (Average)</th>
<th>Education, Post-Secondary (Average)</th>
<th>Housing, Owner (Average)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>18.18%</td>
<td>22.43%</td>
<td>268112</td>
<td>$28,716.63</td>
<td>3728</td>
<td>2599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>11.93%</td>
<td>17.34%</td>
<td>203643</td>
<td>$36,012.35</td>
<td>3393</td>
<td>2846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>7.95%</td>
<td>4.75%</td>
<td>138065</td>
<td>$34,795.72</td>
<td>3613</td>
<td>3194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>5.11%</td>
<td>3.69%</td>
<td>83126</td>
<td>$31,275.32</td>
<td>3211</td>
<td>3032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>26.70%</td>
<td>32.21%</td>
<td>436637</td>
<td>$31,855.32</td>
<td>3942</td>
<td>3105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>10.23%</td>
<td>7.77%</td>
<td>158832</td>
<td>$30,057.44</td>
<td>3923</td>
<td>2675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.82%</td>
<td>3.08%</td>
<td>75339</td>
<td>$26,745.83</td>
<td>2287</td>
<td>2310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5.11%</td>
<td>3.86%</td>
<td>73961</td>
<td>$31,392.79</td>
<td>3908</td>
<td>2425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prince Edward Island</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.14%</td>
<td>1.40%</td>
<td>15301</td>
<td>$27,838.67</td>
<td>2984</td>
<td>2582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfoundland &amp; Labrador</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5.11%</td>
<td>2.96%</td>
<td>69103</td>
<td>$26,593.28</td>
<td>2868</td>
<td>2809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yukon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
<td>0.28%</td>
<td>16962</td>
<td>$41,654.80</td>
<td>3278</td>
<td>2599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest Territories</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
<td>0.17%</td>
<td>823</td>
<td>$51,498.11</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nunavut</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.57%</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$63.72</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1788</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>1539908</td>
<td>$30,652.31</td>
<td>2889</td>
<td>2339</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Number of Campaigns, Population, and Socioeconomic Values per Province.